

CRITICAL REVIEW FORM FOR DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSIS STUDY

Citation:

Users' Guide:	Article:
Are the Results of the study valid?	
Did the investigators enroll the right patients? (Was the patient sample representative of those with the clinical problem?)	
Was the definitive diagnostic process credible?	
For initially undiagnosed patients, was follow-up sufficiently long and complete?	
What are the results?	
What were the diagnoses and their probabilities?	
How precise are the probability estimates?	
How can I apply the results to patient care?	
Are the study patients similar to those in my own practice?	
Is it unlikely that the disease probabilities have changed since this information was gathered?	